## Claims

5

10

15

25

- 1. A method of securely implementing a public-key cryptography algorithm, the public key being composed of an integer n that is a product of two large prime numbers p and q, and of a public exponent e, said method consisting in determining a set E comprising a predetermined number of prime numbers  $e_i$  that can correspond to the value of the public exponent e, said method being characterized in that it comprises the following steps consisting in:
  - a) computing a value  $\Phi = \prod_{ei \in E} ei$

such that  $\Phi/e_i$  is less than  $\Phi(n)$  for any  $e_i$  belonging to E, where  $\Phi$  is the Euler totient function;

- b) applying the value  $\Phi$  to a predetermined computation;
- c) for each  $e_i$ , testing whether the result of said predetermined computation is equal to a value  $\Phi/e_i$ :
- 20 if so, then attributing the value  $e_i$  to e, and storing e with a view to it being used in computations of said cryptography algorithm;
  - otherwise, observing that the computations of the cryptography algorithm using the value e cannot be performed.
  - 2. A method according to claim 1, characterized in that the cryptography algorithm is based on an RSA-type algorithm in standard mode.

- 3. A method according to claim 2, characterized in that the predetermined computation of step b) consists in computing a value C:
- 5  $C=\Phi.d$  modulo  $\Phi(n)$ , where d is the corresponding private key of the RSA algorithm such that e.d = 1 modulo  $\Phi(n)$  and  $\Phi$  is the Euler totient function.
- 4. A method according to claim 2, characterized in that the predetermined computation of step b) consists in computing a value C:
  - $C=\Phi.d$  modulo  $\Phi(n)$ , where d is the corresponding private key of the RSA algorithm such that e.d = 1 modulo  $\Phi(n)$ , with  $\Phi$  being the Carmichael function.

15

25

- 5. A method according to claim 1, characterized in that the cryptography algorithm is based on an RSA-type algorithm in CRT mode.
- 6. A method according to claim 5, characterized in that the predetermined computation of step b) consists in computing a value C:
  - $\label{eq:corresponding} C = \Phi.d_p \quad \text{modulo} \quad \text{(p-1),} \quad \text{where} \quad d_p \quad \text{is} \quad \text{the}$  corresponding private key of the RSA algorithm such that  $e.d_p$  = 1 modulo (p-1).
  - 7. A method according to claim 5, characterized in that the predetermined computation of step b) consists in computing a value C:

- $C = \Phi.d_q \quad \text{modulo} \quad (q\text{-}1)\,, \quad \text{where} \quad d_q \quad \text{is} \quad \text{the}$  corresponding private key of the RSA algorithm such that e.d\_q = 1 modulo (q-1).
- 8. A method according to claim 5, characterized in that the predetermined computation of step b) consists in computing two values C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> such that:

10

- $C_1 = \Phi.d_p$  modulo (p-1), where  $d_p$  is the corresponding private key of the RSA algorithm such that  $e.d_p = 1$  modulo (p-1);
- $C_2 = \Phi.d_q \mod (q\text{-}1)\,, \quad \text{where} \quad d_q \quad \text{is} \quad \text{the}$  corresponding private key of the RSA algorithm such that e.d\_q = 1 modulo (q-1);
- and in that the test step c) consists, for each  $e_i$ , in testing whether  $C_1$  and/or  $C_2$  is equal to the value  $\Phi/e_i$ :
  - if so, then attributing the value  $e_i$  to e and storing e with a view to it being used in computations of said cryptography algorithm;
- otherwise, observing that the computations of said cryptography algorithm using the value e cannot be performed.
- 9. A method according to claim 3 or claim 4 and in which a value e<sub>i</sub> has been attributed to e, said method being characterized in that the computations using the value e consist in:

choosing a random integer r;

computing a value d\* such that d\* = d+r.(e.d-1); and

implementing a private operation of the algorithm in which a value x is obtained from a value y by applying the relationship  $x = y^{d*}$  modulo n.

- 10. A method according to any one of claims 2 to 4, and in which a value  $e_i$  has been attributed to e, said method being characterized in that it consists, after a private operation of the algorithm, in obtaining a value x from a value y, and in that the computations using the value e consist in checking whether  $x^e = y$  modulo n.
- 11. A method according to any one of claims 5 to 8, and in which a value  $e_i$  has been attributed to e, characterized in that it consists, after a private operation of the algorithm, in obtaining a value x from a value y, and in that the computations using the value 20 e consist in checking firstly whether  $x^e = y \mod p$  and secondly whether  $x^e = y \mod p$ .
- 12. A method according to any preceding claim, characterized in that the set E comprises at least the following e<sub>i</sub> values: 3, 17, 2<sup>16</sup>+1.
  - 13. An electronic component characterized in that it comprises means for implementing the method according to any preceding claim.

• 11 •

5

10

• •

25

- 14. A smart card including an electronic component according to claim 13.
- 15. A method of securely implementing a publickey cryptography algorithm, the public key being composed of an integer n that is a product of two large prime numbers p and q, and of a public exponent e, said method consisting in determining a set E comprising a predetermined number of prime numbers e<sub>i</sub> that can correspond to the value of the public exponent e, said method being characterized in that it comprises the following steps consisting in:
  - a) choosing a value  $\boldsymbol{e}_{i}$  from the values of the set  $\boldsymbol{E};$

 $(1-e_i.d) \mod n < e_i.2^{(\Phi(n)/2)+1}$ 

or said relationship as simplified:

 $(-e_i.d)$  modulo  $n < e_i.2^{(\Phi(n)/2)+1}$ 

where  $\Phi(p)$ ,  $\Phi(q)$ , and  $\Phi(n)$  are the functions giving the numbers of bits respectively encoding the number p, the number q, and the number n;

otherwise, when p and q are unbalanced, testing whether the chosen  $e_{\rm i}$  value satisfies the following relationship:

 $(1-e_i.d)$  modulo  $n < e_i.2^{g+1}$ 

or said relationship as simplified:

 $(-e_i.d)$  modulo  $n < e_i.2^{g+1}$ 

with g=max  $(\Phi(p), \Phi(q))$ , if  $\Phi(p)$  and  $\Phi(q)$  are known, or, otherwise, with  $g=\Phi(n)/2+t$ , where t designates the imbalance factor or a limit on that factor;

- c) if the test relationship applied in the preceding step is satisfied and so  $e=e_i$ , storing e with a view to using it in computations of said cryptography algorithm;
- otherwise, reiterating the preceding steps while choosing another value for  $e_i$  from the set E until an  $e_i$  value can be attributed to e and, if no  $e_i$  value can be attributed to e, then observing that the computations of said cryptography algorithm using the value of e cannot be performed.

15

25

5

- 16. A method according to claim 15, characterized in that, for all values of i,  $e_i \le 2^{16} + 1$ , and in that the step b) is replaced by another test step consisting in:
- b) if  $\Phi(p) = \Phi(q)$ , testing whether the chosen  $e_i$  value satisfies the relationship:

 $(1-e_i.d) \mod n < e_i.2^{(\Phi(n)/2)+17}$ 

or said relationship as simplified:

(-e<sub>i</sub>.d) modulo n < e<sub>i</sub>.2 $^{(\Phi(n)/2)+17}$ 

where  $\Phi(p)$ ,  $\Phi(q)$ , and  $\Phi(n)$  are the functions giving the numbers of bits respectively encoding the number p, the number q, and the number n;

otherwise, when p and q are unbalanced, testing whether the chosen  $\mathbf{e_i}$  value satisfies the following relationship:

(1-e<sub>i</sub>.d) modulo n < e<sub>i</sub>. $2^{g+17}$  or said relationship as simplified: (-e<sub>i</sub>.d) modulo n < e<sub>i</sub>. $2^{g+17}$ 

. . .

with g=max  $(\Phi(p), \Phi(q))$ , if  $\Phi(p)$  and  $\Phi(q)$  are known, or, otherwise, with g= $\Phi(n)/2+t$ , where t designates the imbalance factor or a limit on that factor.

17. A method according to claim 15, characterized in that step b) is replaced with another test step consisting in:

testing whether the chosen  $\ensuremath{e_{i}}$  value satisfies the relationship whereby:

the first most significant bits of  $(1-e_i.d)$  modulo n are zero;

or said relationship as simplified whereby:

the first most significant bits of  $(-e_i.d)$  modulo n are zero.

- 18. A method according to claim 17, characterized in that the test is performed on the first 128 most significant bits.
- 19. A method according to any one of claims 15 to 25 18, characterized in that the cryptography algorithm is based on an RSA-type algorithm in standard mode.
  - 20. A method according to any one of claims 15 to 19, and in which an  $e_i$  value has been attributed to  $e_i$

said method being characterized in that the computations using the value e consist in:

- choosing a random integer r;
- computing a value d\* such that d\* = d+r.(e.d-5)

implementing a private operation of the algorithm in which a value x is obtained from a value y by applying the relationship  $x = y^{d*}$  modulo n.

- 10 21. A method according to any one of claims 15 to 19 and in which an  $e_i$  value has been attributed to e, said method being characterized in that it consists, after a private operation of the algorithm, in obtaining a value x from a value y and in that the computations using the value e consist in checking whether  $x_e = y$  modulo n.
- 22. A method according to any one of claims 15 to 21, characterized in that the set E comprises at least the following  $e_i$  values: 3, 17,  $2^{16}+1$ .
  - 23. A method according to claim 22, characterized in that the preferred choice of the values  $e_i$  from the values of the set E is made in the following order:  $2^{16}+1$ , 3, 17.
  - 24. An electronic component characterized in that it comprises means for implementing the method according to any one of claims 15 to 23.

25

· ., . .

25. A smart card including an electronic component according to claim 24.